

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the Advisory Action, the Examiner has allowed claims 1-9, 11 and 18.

Claims 13-17, 19 and 20 have been rejected for the reason that it is unclear as to what "R" in " $-\text{Si} \equiv (\text{OR})_3$ " refers to. During a telephone conference with Examiner Peng, he informed the undersigned attorney that claims 19 and 20, and dependent claims 13-17 would be allowed if the following recitation were deleted from claims 19 and 20:

" , which is expressed as $-\text{Si} \equiv (\text{OR})_3$."


Since claims 19 and 20 have been amended herein to delete the above-identified recitation, all of the claims in the present application should now be allowable.

Accordingly, formal allowance of all of the claims as currently amended in the present application is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

By:



Frank P. Presta
Reg. No. 19,828

FPP:lcb
901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor
Arlington, VA 22203-1808
Telephone: (703) 816-4000
Facsimile: (703) 816-4100